

REALIZING SPACES AS CLASSIFYING SPACES

GREGORY LUPTON AND SAMUEL BRUCE SMITH

ABSTRACT. Which spaces occur as a classifying space for fibrations with a given fibre? We address this question in the context of rational homotopy theory. We construct an infinite family of finite complexes realized (up to rational homotopy) as classifying spaces. We also give several non-realization results, including the following: the rational homotopy types of $\mathbb{C}P^2$ and S^4 are not realized as the classifying space of any simply connected, rational space with finite-dimensional homotopy groups.

1. INTRODUCTION

The classification theory for fibrations with fibres equivalent to a fixed CW complex X was developed in a series of seminal papers [17, 2, 12]. The result of this work is the existence of a *classifying space*, written $Baut(X)$. The space $Baut(X)$ is the base of a universal fibration with fibre X setting up a one-to-one correspondence between fibre-homotopy types of fibrations $X \rightarrow E \rightarrow B$ and homotopy classes of maps $h: B \rightarrow Baut(X)$. The exuberant notation for the classifying space is accounted for by its provenance: up to homotopy type, the space may be obtained by applying the Dold-Lashof classifying space construction [3] to the monoid $aut(X)$ of all homotopy self-equivalences of X (see [6]). Restricting to the sub-monoid $aut_1(X) := \text{map}(X, X; 1)$ gives the universal cover $Baut_1(X)$, the classifying space for fibrations $X \rightarrow E \rightarrow B$ with B simply connected.

The space $Baut_1(X)$ was among the first geometric objects described in rational homotopy theory. Sullivan gave a model for this simply connected classifying space in terms of the derivations of a Sullivan minimal model [18, Sec.11]. Schlessinger and Stasheff [15] constructed a second, equivalent model in terms of derivations of a Quillen model. The following is a long-standing, open question in rational homotopy theory (see [4, p.519]):

Question 1. *Which simply connected rational homotopy types occur as $Baut_1(X)$?*

Question 1 is often interpreted as a conjecture to the effect that *all* rational homotopy types occur as classifying spaces. However, such a suggestion is perhaps best viewed as an admission that, except in restricted cases, little is known about the possible rational homotopy types that may occur. The affirmed cases of the famous Halperin Conjecture [4, p.516] imply that $Baut_1(X)$ is a product of even-dimensional Eilenberg-Mac Lane spaces for certain formal spaces X (see [13, 16]).

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 55P62 55R15; Secondary: 55P10.

Key words and phrases. Classifying Space for Fibrations, Rational Homotopy Type, Derivations, Minimal Model, Finite H-Space.

This work was partially supported by a grant from the Simons Foundation (#209575 to Gregory Lupton). The research was also supported through the program “Research in Pairs” by the Mathematisches Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach in 2014.

Gatsinzi [7, 8, 9, 10] obtained a variety of results showing that the L-S category of $Baut_1(X)$ is infinite for certain classes of spaces. Yamaguchi [20] identified the possible elliptic spaces X for which $Baut_1(X)$ is of the rational homotopy type of a (rank-one) Eilenberg-Mac Lane space.

The published results on the classifying space taken together reveal a significant common feature. With the exception of the odd-dimensional sphere

$$S^{2n+1} \simeq_{\mathbb{Q}} Baut_1(K(\mathbb{Q}, 2n)),$$

all rational homotopy types known to occur correspond to infinite-dimensional CW complexes. In this paper, we give a new family of finite complexes realized (up to rational homotopy type) as $Baut_1(X)$. We also prove that the rational homotopy types of some finite complexes cannot be realized when X is restricted to have finite-dimensional rational homotopy groups.

As an overriding hypothesis, we assume all spaces X appearing in this paper are *rational* spaces. That is, all spaces satisfy $X = X_{\mathbb{Q}}$. We further assume spaces X are nilpotent, usually simply connected, and of finite type. We introduce one further hypothesis that will facilitate our analysis. We say a space X is π -finite if X has only finitely many non-zero (rational) homotopy groups. In this case, $Baut_1(X)$ is also a π -finite rational space (see Proposition 2.2, below).

It is easy to prove that $Baut_1(K(\mathbb{Q}^m, n-1)) = K(\mathbb{Q}^m, n)$ for $n \geq 2$ and $m \geq 1$. It is natural then to attempt to realize a product of Eilenberg Mac Lane spaces with nonzero homotopy groups in two distinct degrees. In Section 3, we prove the following:

Theorem 1. *The following rational homotopy types occur as $Baut_1(X)$ for some simply connected, π -finite, rational space X :*

- (1) $S^{2n+1} \times S^{4n+1}$, for $n \geq 1$ and n odd;
- (2) $K(\mathbb{Q}, r) \times K(\mathbb{Q}, r+4m+1)$ for $r \geq 2$ and $m \geq 1$.

We may also take $m = 0$ in (2), if we allow X to be nilpotent (not simply connected).

In Section 4, we prove the following non-realization result:

Theorem 2. *The rational homotopy types of $\mathbb{C}P^2$ and S^4 are not realized as the classifying space of any simply connected, π -finite, rational space.*

Theorem 2 strikes a warning note, as regards Question 1: It implies that to realize these simple rational types will require quite complicated spaces X , i.e., spaces with infinitely many non-zero homotopy groups. Also in Section 4, we deduce that any simply connected space of dimension five that does not satisfy a certain structural condition—of which there are many examples—cannot be realized as the classifying space of any simply connected, π -finite space. Whereas all results up to this point are obtained by analysis of Sullivan’s model for the classifying space $Baut_1(X)$, we include one further result using the Schlessinger-Stasheff model.

2. PRELIMINARIES IN RATIONAL HOMOTOPY THEORY

In this section, we establish notation in rational homotopy theory and record some facts we will use about the classifying space $Baut_1(X)$. We then give two examples, one of a realization and the other a non-realization result. We emphasize again our overriding hypotheses that spaces X introduced are assumed to be *rational*. This assumption allows for a concise statement of results avoiding the various hypotheses required to rationalize classifying spaces.

A nilpotent space X of finite type admits a *Sullivan minimal model* $(\wedge(V), d)$, which is a differential graded (DG) algebra freely generated by a connected rational vector space V of finite dimension in each degree. The differential d satisfies the *minimality condition* $d(V) \subseteq \wedge^+ V \cdot \wedge^+ V$. More generally, a fibration $X \rightarrow E \rightarrow B$ of nilpotent spaces with B simply connected corresponds to a Koszul-Sullivan extension (*KS-extension*). This is a sequence of DG algebras

$$(\wedge W, \delta) \rightarrow (\wedge W \otimes \wedge V, \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow (\wedge V, d),$$

in which $(\wedge W, \delta)$ and $(\wedge V, d)$ are the minimal models for B and X , respectively. Furthermore, the DG algebra $(\wedge W \otimes \wedge V, \mathcal{D})$ is a model for E but need not be minimal; the differential here satisfies $\mathcal{D}(w) = \delta(w)$ for $w \in W$ while $\mathcal{D}(v) - d(v) \in \wedge^+ W \cdot (\wedge W \otimes \wedge V)$ for $v \in V$. Our references for rational homotopy theory are [19, 4].

Sullivan's model for the classifying space $B\text{aut}_1(X)$ is constructed in terms of derivations of the minimal model $(\wedge V, d)$ for X [18, Sec.11]. Let $(\text{Der}(\wedge V), D)$ denote the graded Lie algebra of negative-degree derivations of $\wedge V$. That is, $\theta \in \text{Der}^n(\wedge V)$ reduces degrees by n and satisfies the derivation law $\theta(\chi_1 \chi_2) = \theta(\chi_1) \chi_2 + (-1)^{n|\chi_1|} \chi_1 \theta(\chi_2)$ for $\chi_1, \chi_2 \in \wedge V$. The bracket of two derivations is $[\theta_1, \theta_2] = \theta_1 \circ \theta_2 - (-1)^{|\theta_1||\theta_2|} \theta_2 \circ \theta_1$ and the differential D is given by $D(\theta) = [d, \theta]$ for $\theta \in \text{Der}(\wedge V)$. The DG Lie algebra $(\text{Der}(\wedge V), D)$ gives rise to a Quillen model for $B\text{aut}_1(X)$ (see [19, Ch.6] and [7]). We will only need the following special case of this result here:

Theorem 2.1. *Let X be nilpotent space of finite type with Sullivan minimal model $(\wedge V, d)$. There is an isomorphism of graded Lie algebras*

$$\pi_*(\Omega B\text{aut}_1(X)) \cong H_*(\text{Der}(\wedge V))$$

in positive degrees where the left-hand graded space has the Samelson bracket.

Proof. A direct proof for X simply connected using the identity $\Omega B\text{aut}_1(X) = \text{aut}_1(X)$ is given [5, Th.1]. The argument given there requires only the existence of a Sullivan minimal model for X and so may be extended to the case X is nilpotent. $\square$

Proposition 2.2. *Suppose X is nilpotent and π -finite with*

$$\pi_i(X) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q}^r & \text{some } r \geq 1 \quad i = N \\ 0 & i > N. \end{cases}$$

Then we have

$$\pi_i(B\text{aut}_1 X) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q}^r & i = N + 1 \\ 0 & i > N + 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. By hypothesis, X has minimal model of form $\wedge V$ with V non-zero only in degrees $\leq N$, and V^N of dimension r . It follows that $\text{Der}(\wedge V)$ is a graded vector space that is non-zero only in degrees $\leq N$. Therefore, we have

$$\pi_{i+1}(B\text{aut}_1 X) \cong \pi_i(\Omega B\text{aut}_1 X) \cong H_i(\text{Der}(\wedge V)) = 0$$

for $i > N$.

Furthermore, in degree N , for each $\theta \in \text{Hom}(V^N, \mathbb{Q})$, we obtain a derivation in $\text{Der}(\wedge V)$ of degree N by setting $\theta(V^N) = 0$ and extending as a derivation. Any such derivation is a D -cycle, since the elements of V^N —as the last stage of generators—do not occur in the differential of any other generators. There are no non-zero

boundaries of degree N , since $\text{Der}(\wedge V)$ is zero in degree $N + 1$ (and higher). So the vector space V^N persists to homology, and we have

$$\pi_{N+1}(\text{Baut}_1(X)) \cong \pi_N(\Omega \text{Baut}_1(X)) \cong H_N(\text{Der}(\wedge V)) \cong \text{Hom}(V^N, \mathbb{Q}).$$

□

We next describe a situation in which we can be assured of a nontrivial fibration $X \rightarrow E \rightarrow B$ and thus an essential classifying map. We formulate the result in terms of derivations. The proof uses the *Gottlieb group* $G_*(E) \subseteq \pi_*(E)$. We recall that $G_*(E)$ is the image of the map induced on homotopy groups by the evaluation map $\omega: \text{aut}_1(E) \rightarrow E$. For E a simply connected rational space with minimal model $(\wedge(W), d)$, we have an identification

$$G_*(E) = \text{Im}\{H(\varepsilon): H_*(\text{Der}(\wedge W)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(W, \mathbb{Q})\}$$

Here $\varepsilon: \wedge W \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ is the augmentation and so $H(\varepsilon)(\langle \theta \rangle)$ is the restriction of the D -cycle θ to the basis W (see [11, Th.3.5]). We prove:

Proposition 2.3. *Let X be simply connected with Sullivan minimal model $(\wedge V, d)$. Suppose given a KS-fibration $(\wedge(w_n), 0) \rightarrow (\wedge V \otimes \wedge(w_n), \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow (\wedge V, d)$ with w_n of degree $n > 1$. Suppose the following conditions hold:*

- (1) $(\wedge(w_n) \otimes \wedge V, \mathcal{D})$ is a minimal DG algebra and
- (2) any derivation $\theta \in \text{Der}_n(\wedge(w_n) \otimes \wedge V)$ with $\theta(w) \neq 0$ satisfies $D(\theta) \neq 0$.

Then there exists an essential map $K(\mathbb{Q}, n) \rightarrow \text{Baut}_1(X)$.

Proof. The spatial realization of the given KS-fibration is a fibration of the form $X \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{p} K(\mathbb{Q}, n)$. Our hypothesis (2) implies that the dual of the basis vector w_n is not in the Gottlieb group $G_*(E)$. Thus $\text{rank}(G_n(E)) < \text{rank}(G_n(X))$. As regards the product, we have $G_n(K(\mathbb{Q}, n) \times X) = \mathbb{Q} \oplus G_n(X)$. Thus $E \not\cong K(\mathbb{Q}, n) \times X$ and the classifying map $K(\mathbb{Q}, n) \rightarrow \text{Baut}_1(X)$ for p is the needed essential map. □

We conclude this section with two simple examples. We begin with a realization result for a rank-two H-space.

Example 2.4. Suppose X has minimal model $(\wedge(x_3, y_3, z_5, w_7), d)$, with subscripts denoting degrees and differential $d(x) = 0$, $d(y) = 0$, $d(z) = xy$, and $d(w) = xz$. Since $\wedge V$ is freely generated by V , any derivation in $\text{Der}(\wedge V)$ may be specified by its effect on generators in V . Then in positive degrees, a vector space basis for $\text{Der}(\wedge V)$ may be displayed as follows:

degree	generators
7	w^*
5	z^*
4	$(w, x), (w, y)$
3	x^*, y^*
2	$(z, y), (z, x), (w, z)$
1	(w, xy)

Here we are using the notation (w, x) for the derivation that sends w to x and all other generators to 0, we have written w^* for $(w, 1)$, and so-on. Direct computation shows that the differential D in $\text{Der}(\wedge V)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} D(w^*) &= 0, & D(z^*) &= -(w, x), & D((w, x)) &= 0, & D((w, y)) &= 0, \\ D(x^*) &= (z, y) + (w, z), & D(y^*) &= -(z, x), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} D((z, y)) &= -(w, xy), & D((z, x)) &= 0, & D((w, z)) &= (w, xy), \\ D((w, xy)) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then the homology of $\text{Der}(\wedge V)$ is of rank 1 in degrees 7 and 4, and zero otherwise. Thus, $B\text{aut}_1(X)$ has homotopy groups of rank 1 in degrees 8 and 5. It follows that we must have

$$B\text{aut}_1(X) = K(\mathbb{Q}, 5) \times K(\mathbb{Q}, 8).$$

Our next example shows that not all rank-two rational H-spaces can be realized as $B\text{aut}_1(X)$ for X simply connected and π -finite.

Example 2.5. We show $K(\mathbb{Q}, 3) \times K(\mathbb{Q}, 4)$ cannot be so realized. For suppose X is a simply connected, π -finite, rational space with $B\text{aut}_1(X) = K(\mathbb{Q}, 3) \times K(\mathbb{Q}, 4)$. Since $\pi_*(B\text{aut}_1(X))$ is zero above degree 4, we can conclude that $\pi_*(X)$ is concentrated in degrees 2 and 3. Further, since we have $\pi_4(B\text{aut}_1(X)) = \mathbb{Q}$, we must have $\pi_3(X) = \mathbb{Q}$ by Proposition 2.2. Thus the minimal model for X takes the form $(\wedge(x_1, \dots, x_r, y), d)$ with the x_i in degree 2, y in degree 3 and $dx_i = 0$ (for degree reasons). Proceeding as in Example 2.4, we may write a vector space basis for $\text{Der}(\wedge V)$ in positive degrees as follows:

degree	generators
3	y^*
2	$x_1^*, \dots, x_r^*$
1	$(y, x_1), \dots, (y, x_r)$

We see that $D(y^*) = 0$ and $D((y, x_i)) = 0$. If $\mathbb{Q} = \pi_3(B\text{aut}_1(X)) \cong H_2(\text{Der}(\wedge V), D)$ the map $D: \text{Der}^2 \wedge V \rightarrow \text{Der}^1 \wedge V$ must have kernel of dimension 1 and so image of dimension $r - 1$. Thus $H_1(\text{Der}(\wedge V), D) \cong \mathbb{Q}$, contradicting the fact that $\pi_2(B\text{aut}_1(X)) \cong H_1(\text{Der}(\wedge V), D) = 0$.

In fact, we may realize $K(\mathbb{Q}, 3) \times K(\mathbb{Q}, 4)$ as the classifying space of a nilpotent (non-simply connected) space—see Theorem 3.1. Examples 2.4 and 2.5, along with the results in the next section, indicate the challenge faced in addressing Question 1. Even amongst rank-two H-spaces $K(\mathbb{Q}, m) \times K(\mathbb{Q}, n)$, it seems difficult to predict simply from the degrees m and n whether or not—and if so, how—the rational homotopy type can be realized as the classifying space of a π -finite complex.

3. RANK-TWO H -SPACES REALIZED AS $B\text{aut}_1(X)$

In this section, we make constructions that realize certain rank-two H-spaces as classifying spaces. We first prove part (2) of Theorem 1 of the Introduction.

Theorem 3.1. *For each $r \geq 2$ and $m \geq 0$, there exists a π -finite, rational space $X_{r,m}$ with*

$$B\text{aut}_1(X_{r,m}) = K(\mathbb{Q}, r) \times K(\mathbb{Q}, r + 4m + 1).$$

If $m \geq 1$, then we may take $X_{r,m}$ to be simply connected. If $m = 0$, then we require that $X_{r,m}$ be nilpotent, non-simply connected.

Proof. We define the space $X_{r,m}$ in terms of a minimal model $(\wedge(u_{2m+1}, v_{2m+r}, y_{4m+r}), d)$ with subscripts indicating degrees and nonzero differential $d(y) = uv$. The generators of $\text{Der}(\wedge V)$ are given by the table:

degree	generators
$4m + r$	y^*
$2m + r$	v^*
$2m + r - 1$	(y, u)
$2m + 1$	u^*
$2m$	(y, v)
$r - 1$	(v, u)

Note that we may have $r - 1 < 2m$, as pictured, or it may fall in the range $2m \leq r - 1 < 2m + r - 1$; this makes no difference to our calculation. The only nonzero differentials are

$$D(v^*) = \pm(y, u) \text{ and } D(u^*) = \pm(y, v).$$

Thus $H_*(\text{Der}(\wedge V), D)$ has rank 1 in degrees $r - 1$ and $4m + r$ and is trivial in all other degrees. It follows that $\pi_i(\text{aut}_1(X_{r,m})) \cong \mathbb{Q}$ for $i = r - 1$ and $i = 4m + r$, and zero otherwise. Hence, we see that $\text{Baut}_1(X_{r,m})$ has the correct homotopy groups. When $4m + 2$ is not a multiple of r , or if r is odd, this is sufficient to determine that the rational homotopy type of $\text{Baut}_1(X_{r,m})$ is as asserted, since there is only one rational homotopy type with such homotopy groups.

So suppose that $4m + 2 = kr$ for some $k \geq 1$, and that r is even. Here, we must distinguish $\text{Baut}_1(X_{r,m})$ from the space Z with truncated polynomial cohomology $H^*(Z) = \wedge(z_r)/\langle z_r^{k+1} \rangle$, where z_r denotes a generator of (even) degree r . We use Proposition 2.3 to do so. Define a KS-extension

$$(\wedge(z_r), 0) \rightarrow (\wedge(z) \otimes (\wedge(u, v, y), \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow (\wedge(u, v, y), d)$$

by setting $\mathcal{D}(u) = \mathcal{D}(z) = 0$, $\mathcal{D}(v) = uz$ and $\mathcal{D}(y) = d(y) = uv$. Notice that, since $u^2 = 0$, we have $\mathcal{D}^2 = 0$. Clearly, the DG algebra $(\wedge(z) \otimes \wedge(u, v, y), \mathcal{D})$ is minimal. Observe that $D(z^*) = \pm(v, u)$. It follows easily that $\theta(z) \neq 0$ implies $D(\theta) \neq 0$. We conclude there is an essential map $h: K(\mathbb{Q}, r) \rightarrow \text{Baut}_1(X_{r,m})$. Since Z admits no such map—as is easy to see, for example, using minimal models—we must have $\text{Baut}_1(X_{r,m}) = K(\mathbb{Q}, r) \times K(\mathbb{Q}, r + 4m + 1)$ in this case also. $\square$

Remark 3.2. We may describe the spaces $X_{r,m}$ of Theorem 3.1 without reference to minimal models, as two-stage Postnikov pieces. Namely, $X_{r,m}$ is the total space in a principal fibration $K(\mathbb{Q}, 4m + r) \rightarrow X_{r,m} \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Q}, 2m + 1) \times K(\mathbb{Q}, 2m + r)$, with k -invariant $K(\mathbb{Q}, 2m + 1) \times K(\mathbb{Q}, 2m + r) \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Q}, 4m + r + 1)$ that corresponds to the non-zero cup-product $uv \in H^{4m+r+1}(K(\mathbb{Q}, 2m + 1) \times K(\mathbb{Q}, 2m + r))$, with $u \in H^{2m+1}(K(\mathbb{Q}, 2m + 1))$ and $v \in H^{2m+r}(K(\mathbb{Q}, 2m + r))$ generators.

Now we complete Theorem 1 of the Introduction by defining simply connected, π -finite spaces X_n with $\text{Baut}_1(X_n)$ of the rational homotopy type of $S^{2n+1} \times S^{4n+1}$, for each n odd and $n \geq 1$. We will write the details assuming that $n \geq 5$. In the cases in which $n = 1$ or 3 , the details are very similar, with some minor differences due to the fact that, for these low-end cases, the degrees of some of the generators, or the differences between the degrees of some of the terms, coincide (or become negative, in which case they may be set aside).

We describe the space X_n in terms of a minimal model. The model has 6 generators, and so X_n is a π -finite space. The following table gives a vector space basis for $\wedge V$ through the degrees of the highest generator. Notice that, since n is

odd, and thus the degree of v_1 and v_2 is even, we must allow for powers of these generators. We will use this information to identify a basis for $\text{Der}(\wedge V)$.

degree	generator	decomposables
$4n$	y	
$3n+3$		$v_1^3, v_1^2 v_2, v_1 v_2^2, v_2^3$
$3n+1$		$v_1 w, v_2 w$
$3n$	u_1, u_2	
$2n+2$		$v_1^2, v_1 v_2, v_2^2$
$2n$	w	
$n+1$	v_1, v_2	

The non-zero differentials in $\wedge V$ are defined to be

$$dy = u_1 v_1 + u_2 v_2, \quad du_1 = -v_2 w, \quad du_2 = v_1 w.$$

Theorem 3.3. *With X_n as above, we have*

$$\text{Baut}_1(X_n) = K(\mathbb{Q}, 2n+1) \times K(\mathbb{Q}, 4n+1)$$

for $n \geq 1$ and n odd.

Proof. We write a linear basis for $\text{Der}(\wedge V)$. The following table groups the basis elements for $\text{Der}(\wedge V)$ according as they contribute to the homology of $\text{Der}(\wedge V)$. We shall see that the elements under group 0 are those that persist to homology, whereas all the remaining groups of terms form short exact sequences that do not contribute to homology. The result will follow.

degree	group 0	group 1	group 2	group 3	more groups
$4n$	y^*				
$3n$		u_1^*, u_2^*			
$3n-1$		$(y, v_1), (y, v_2)$			
$2n$	(y, w)		w^*		
$2n-1$			$(u_1, v_2), (u_2, v_1)$	$(u_1, v_1), (u_2, v_2)$	
$2n-2$			$(y, v_1 v_2)$	$(y, v_1^2), (y, v_2^2)$	
$n+1$					$\vdots$
n					$\vdots$
$n-1$					extracted
$n-2$					below
$n-3$					$\vdots$

The lower-right portion of the table is as follows:

degree	group 4	group 5	group 6	more groups
$n+1$	v_1^*	v_2^*		
n	$(y, u_1), (u_2, w)$	$(y, u_2), (u_1, w)$		
$n-1$	$(y, v_1 w)$	$(y, v_2 w)$	(w, v_1)	$\vdots$
$n-2$			$(u_1, v_1 v_2), (u_2, v_1^2)$	below
$n-3$			$(y, v_1^2 v_2)$	$\vdots$

And the lower-right portion of this table is as follows:

degree	group 7	group 8
$n - 1$	(w, v_2)	
$n - 2$	$(u_1, v_2^2), (u_2, v_1 v_2)$	$(u_1, v_1^2), (u_2, v_2^2)$
$n - 3$	$(y, v_1 v_2^2)$	$(y, v_1^3), (y, v_2^3)$

Group 0: It is clear that y^* and (y, w) are both non-bounding D -cycles.

Group 1: We have

$$D(u_1^*) = (y, v_1), \quad D(u_2^*) = (y, v_2).$$

Hence $D: \text{Der}^{3n}(\wedge V) \rightarrow \text{Der}^{3n-1}(\wedge V)$ is a linear isomorphism.

Group 2: We have $D(w^*) = d \circ w^* - w^* \circ d = -w^* \circ d$. When this is evaluated on u_1 and u_2 , which are the only elements whose differentials involve w , we find that

$$D(w^*) = (u_1, v_2) - (u_2, v_1).$$

Furthermore, we have

$$D((u_1, v_2)) = (y, v_1 v_2), \quad D((u_2, v_1)) = (y, v_1 v_2).$$

It follows that

$$0 \rightarrow \langle w^* \rangle \rightarrow \langle (u_1, v_2), (u_2, v_1) \rangle \rightarrow \langle (y, v_1 v_2) \rangle \rightarrow 0,$$

in which the maps are D , is a short exact sequence. Hence the group 2 terms contribute no homology.

Group 3: We have

$$D((u_1, v_1)) = (y, v_1^2), \quad D((u_2, v_2)) = (y, v_2^2).$$

Hence D gives a linear isomorphism

$$\langle (u_1, v_1), (u_2, v_2) \rangle \rightarrow \langle (y, v_1^2), (y, v_2^2) \rangle,$$

and the group 3 terms contribute no homology.

Groups 4, 5, 6 and 7: These are shown to contribute no homology in the same way as for the group 2 terms: we have a short exact sequence in each case.

Group 8: This is shown to contribute no homology in the same way as for the group 3 terms: D gives a linear isomorphism.

Thus far, we have shown that $\pi_i(\text{aut}_1(X_n)) \cong H_i(\text{Der}(\wedge V)) \cong \mathbb{Q}$ for $i = 2n, 4n$, and is zero otherwise. This gives $\text{Baut}_1(X_n)$ the correct rational homotopy groups, but in fact this is sufficient to determine the rational homotopy type, since there is a unique rational homotopy type with the desired rational homotopy groups. This completes the argument for $n \geq 5$. We briefly indicate how things proceed in the low-end cases.

$n = 3$: Referring to the minimal model, we have $4n = 3n + 3 = 12$, and so the highest-degree generator y is in the same degree as the cubic terms in the v_i . In the groups that appear in $\text{Der}(\wedge V)$, groups 1–5 are unchanged. The only point to bear in mind for the remaining three groups is that $n - 3 = 0$. However, the outcome, as regards homology in positive degrees—which is what we are concerned with here—is unchanged. Namely, these zero-degree terms still play the role of being in the image of the differential D from degree 1, meaning that we still have no non-zero (positive-degree) homology from these groups of terms.

$n = 1$: Here there is more coalescing of degrees of the various terms. Referring to the minimal model, we have $2n = n + 1 = 2$, and so the generators w, v_1, v_2 are now all in the same degree of 2. Furthermore, $3n + 3 = 6$, whereas $4n = 4$, so the cubic terms in the v_i now appear above the highest-degree generator y , and so may be set aside. Also, the quadratic terms in the v_i , as well as the products wv_i appear in the same degree as y . In the groups of terms that appear in $\text{Der}(\wedge V)$, groups 1–5 are unchanged. As in the previous case, although here we have $n - 1 = 0$, the terms that appear in this degree still play their same role, as boundaries of elements from degree 1, which means that the positive-degree homology contributed by those terms is still zero. Here, groups 6–8 may be set aside, as they occur completely in non-positive degrees (their homology is still zero, though). $\square$

4. NON-REALIZATION RESULTS

In this section, we prove that several simple rational homotopy types cannot be realized as $Baut_1(X)$ for X simply connected and π -finite. We begin with the following:

Theorem 4.1. *There is no simply connected, π -finite, rational space X for which $Baut_1(X)$ has the rational homotopy type of $\mathbb{C}P^2$.*

Proof. In fact we show a more general statement. We will assume only that $Baut_1(X)$ has rational homotopy groups of the form

$$\pi_i(Baut_1(X)) = \begin{cases} 0 & i \geq 5 \\ \mathbb{Q} & i = 5 \\ 0 & i = 3, 4 \\ \mathbb{Q}^k \text{ some } k \geq 1 & i = 2 \end{cases}$$

and conclude that, at least if X is assumed π -finite and simply connected, $Baut_1(X)$ must have infinite rational category. This rules out the possibility of $Baut_1(X)$ being the rationalization of $\mathbb{C}P^2$ or of any other finite complex.

To this end, we first show that, without loss of generality, we may assume that X has minimal model of the form

degree	generators
4	y
3	$u_1, \dots, u_r$
2	$v_1, \dots, v_r$

for some $r \geq 2$, and furthermore that the differential on the top-degree generator is

$$dy = u_1v_1 + \dots + u_rv_r.$$

There may be non-zero differentials $d: V^3 \rightarrow \wedge^2(V^2)$ as well, but these do not play a role in our argument.

To see this, start by applying Lemma 2.2 to obtain that the minimal model for X must have a single generator in degree 4, and no higher-degree generators. Then write $V^3 = \langle u_1, \dots, u_r \rangle$ and $V^2 = \langle v_1, \dots, v_s \rangle$, for some $r, s \geq 0$. Now we must have $r \geq 1$, otherwise there would be no possibility for having $\pi_2(Baut_1(X)) \neq 0$. For degree reasons, we may write

$$d(y) = u_1\beta_1 + \dots + u_r\beta_r$$

for some $\beta_i \in V^2$ (possibly zero, at this point in the argument). Then the derivations u_i^* have boundary $D(u_i^*) = (y, \beta_i)$ for each i . So, if $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r\}$ were linearly dependent, we would have a cycle of degree 3, of the form $\sum u_i^*$, that could not be a boundary, and so would contribute a non-zero element to $\pi_4(Baut_1(X)) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. This contradicts our assumption on the rational homotopy of $Baut_1(X)$, and so we must have $s \geq r$, with $\{\beta_i\}_{i=1, \dots, r}$ linearly independent in V^2 . Next, consider the derivations (y, v_j) of degree 2. Each of these is a cycle and, since we assume $\pi_3(Baut_1(X)) = 0$, the image of the differential D must span $\langle (y, v_1), \dots, (y, v_s) \rangle$. However, the only boundaries we have available here are given by the $D(u_i^*)$, since the only degree-3 derivations are the u_i^* . Therefore, we must have $r \geq s$, and hence $r = s$. Then the $\{\beta_i\}_{i=1, \dots, r}$ are a basis for V^2 , and we re-label them as $\beta_i = v_i$ for each i .

Next we eliminate the case in which $r = 1$. This case consists of the minimal model $(\wedge(v, u, y), d)$ with single non-differential $d(y) = uv$ (it is not possible for $d(u)$ to be non-zero here). A direct calculation shows that, for this X , we have $Baut_1(X) = S^5$, and in particular $\pi_2(Baut_1(X)) = 0$.

Now suppose X has minimal model $(\wedge(v_1, \dots, v_r, u_1, \dots, u_r, y), d)$, with the degrees as above, with $r \geq 2$, and with the differential on y of the form

$$d(y) = u_1 v_1 + u_2 v_2 + \dots + u_r v_r.$$

We construct a KS-extension

$$(\wedge(z_2), 0) \rightarrow (\wedge(z) \otimes \wedge(v_1, \dots, v_r, u_1, \dots, u_r, y), \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow (\wedge(v_1, \dots, v_r, u_1, \dots, u_r, y), d),$$

by setting $\mathcal{D}(u_1) = z v_2 + d(u_1)$ and $\mathcal{D}(u_2) = z v_1 + d(u_2)$, and $\mathcal{D} = d$ on all generators other than u_1, u_2 . We see directly that $(\wedge(z) \otimes \wedge(v_1, \dots, v_r, u_1, \dots, u_r, y), \mathcal{D})$ is minimal. Using the fact that $D(z^*) = \pm(u_1, v_2) \pm (u_2, v_1)$ is non-zero it is easy to see that $\theta(z) \neq 0$ implies $D(\theta) \neq 0$. Proposition 2.3 gives an essential map $h: K(\mathbb{Q}, 2) \rightarrow Baut_1(X)$. Since we assume $Baut_1(X)$ only has non-zero rational homotopy groups in degrees 2 and 5, the only possibility for such a map is one that is injective in rational homotopy groups in degree 2. This implies, using the mapping theorem of Félix-Halperin [4, Th.28.6], that $\text{cat}_0(Baut_1(X)) = \infty$. In fact, it is easy to see that $H^2(Baut_1(X))$ must contain an element a such that $a^n \neq 0$ for all $n \geq 1$, so that $Baut_1(X)$ actually has infinite rational cup-length. $\square$

We apply similar arguments to prove:

Theorem 4.2. *There is no simply connected, π -finite, rational space X for which $Baut_1(X)$ has the rational homotopy type of S^4 .*

Proof. Let $\iota_4 \in \pi_4(S^4)$ denote the fundamental class with nontrivial Whitehead product $[\iota_4, \iota_4] \in \pi_7(S^4)$. Suppose given X with $Baut_1(X) = S^4$ and minimal model $\wedge(V, d)$. Then we have $V^6 = \langle y_6 \rangle$ by Proposition 2.2. Further, the derivation cycle y^* must decompose as $y^* = [\theta, \theta]$ for θ a degree 3 derivation cycle. In particular, $\dim V^3 > 0$. Let $x \in V^3$.

Suppose $V^2 = 0$. Then $V^5 = 0$ for otherwise, if $u \in V^5$, the degree 5 derivation cycle u^* cannot bound. Also, $dx = 0$ and so (y, x) is a degree 3 cycle that does not bound. We cannot then have that x^* is a cycle, since x^* cannot bound as this would produce too many homology elements in degree 3. We conclude there is an element $w_4 \in V^4$ and $dy = wx + \text{other terms}$. For degree reasons $dw = 0$. We construct a KS-extension $(\wedge(z_2), 0) \rightarrow (\wedge(z) \otimes \wedge V, \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow (\wedge(V), d)$ where $Dw = zx$ and

$Dy = dy$. Applying Proposition 2.3 gives an essential map $h: K(\mathbb{Q}, 2) \rightarrow \text{Baut}_1(X)$ which contradicts the assumption that $\text{Baut}_1(X) = S^4$. We conclude $\dim V^2 > 0$.

Now suppose $\dim V^2 = 1$. Then $\dim V^5 = 1$ also and $dy = v_2 u_5 + \text{other terms}$. Suppose $V^4 \neq 0$. Then the degree 2 cycle (y, w_4) must be a boundary which forces dy to take the form $dy = vu + wx + \text{other terms}$. Now w cannot appear in dv or else $d^2 y \neq 0$. We can thus construct the same KS-extension as above to derive a contradiction. We conclude that $V^4 = 0$. The minimal model for X must then be of the form $(\wedge(v_2, x_3, u_5, y_6), d)$. There are two cases to check here. If $dx = v^2$ then x cannot appear in dy and so we may write $dy = uv + qv^3$ for $q \in \mathbb{Q}$, possibly zero. In this case, x^* and $(v, u) - (y, x)$ are cycles of degree 3. There are no boundaries in degree 3 and so this is a contradiction. The other possibility is that $dx = 0$. Then x must appear in the differential dy to ensure x^* and (y, x) do not give too many degree 3 derivation cycles. So $dy = uv + v^2 x + qv^3$ is the only non-trivial differential. It is now easy to compute that $\text{Baut}_1(X) \simeq_{\mathbb{Q}} K(\mathbb{Q}, 2) \times K(\mathbb{Q}, 4) \times K(\mathbb{Q}, 7)$.

It remains to handle the cases where $\dim V^2 > 1$. Write $V^2 = \mathbb{Q}(v_1, \dots, v_r)$ for $r \geq 2$. Then the derivations cycles (y, v_i) must each bound. This forces $V^5 = \mathbb{Q}(u_1, \dots, u_r)$ and

$$dy = u_1 v_1 + u_2 v_2 + \dots + u_r v_r + \text{other terms}.$$

We now apply the same argument used in the proof of Theorem 4.1. Specifically, we obtain a KS-extension $(\wedge(z_4), 0) \rightarrow (\wedge(z) \otimes \wedge V, \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow (\wedge(V), d)$ satisfying the conditions in Proposition 2.3 and so giving an essential map $h: K(\mathbb{Q}, 4) \rightarrow \text{Baut}_1(X)$. Since S^4 admits no such map, the proof is complete. $\square$

Our last result uses the notion of *positive weights* on a minimal model. This notion has its origins in work of Body-Douglas [1] and Mimura-O'Neill-Toda [14] on p -universal spaces.

Definition 4.3. We say that a DG algebra (A, d) has a *positive weight decomposition* if it admits a direct sum decomposition $A^+ = \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} A^+(i)$ that satisfies $A(i) \cdot A(j) \subseteq A(i+j)$ and $d(A(i)) \subseteq A(i)$.

We say that a space X has positive weights, or is p -universal, if some model for it admits a positive weight decomposition. Notice that the property is independent of any particular type of model. If either a DG algebra (Sullivan) model, or a DG Lie algebra (Quillen) model for X admits a positive weight decomposition, then this may be translated into the existence of a family of self-maps of X , corresponding to grading automorphisms of the model that admits the weight decomposition. In this way, the condition may actually be phrased purely in terms of self-maps of the space X , independently of any choice of model. Indeed, the notion of p -universality actually pre-dates rational homotopy theory and minimal models.

We begin with the following observation.

Lemma 4.4. *Suppose X is a simply connected, π -finite, rational space with $\pi_i(X) = 0$ unless $i = 2, 3, 4$. Then the DG Lie algebra $\text{Der}(\wedge V)$ admits a positive weight decomposition. Consequently, $\text{Baut}_1(X)$ is a p -universal space.*

Proof. Suppose X has minimal model of form $\wedge V = \wedge(V_2, V_3, V_4)$, with V_i the vector spec of generators of degree i . The differential d in $\wedge V$ satisfies $d(V_2) = 0$, $d(V_3) \subseteq \wedge^2 V_2$, and $d(V_4) \subseteq V_2 \cdot V_3$. In the style of the above examples, we may write a basis for $\text{Der}(\wedge V)$ as follows.

degree	generators
4	V_4^*
3	V_3^*
2	$V_2^*, (V_4, V_2)$
1	$(V_3, V_2), (V_4, V_3)$

Here, notation such as (V_4, V_3) denotes $\text{Hom}(V_4, V_3)$, with typical basis element (x, y) , where x and y are basis elements of V_4 and V_3 respectively. Translating the differential from $\wedge V$ into that on $\text{Der}(\wedge V)$, we see that the differential D in $\text{Der}(\wedge V)$ satisfies $D(V_4^*) = 0$, and

$$D(V_3^*) \subseteq (V_4, V_2), \quad D((V_4, V_2)) = 0,$$

$$D(V_2^*) \subseteq (V_3, V_2) \oplus (V_4, V_3).$$

Furthermore, the only possible non-zero brackets in $\text{Der}(\wedge V)$ satisfy

$$[(V_3, V_2), (V_4, V_3)] \subseteq (V_4, V_2), \quad [V_2^*, (V_3, V_2)] \subseteq V_3^*,$$

$$[V_3^*, (V_4, V_3)] \subseteq V_4^*, \quad [V_2^*, (V_4, V_2)] \subseteq V_4^*,$$

It follows that, if we assign positive weights of 1 to $V_2^* \oplus (V_3, V_2) \oplus (V_4, V_3)$, 2 to $V_3^* \oplus (V_4, V_2)$, and 3 to V_4^* , then we have a positive weight decomposition on $\text{Der}(\wedge V)$, which is a DG Lie algebra model for $\text{Baut}_1(X)$. $\square$

Next, we give an example of a space that is not p -universal, whose rational homotopy groups are concentrated in degree 2, 3, 4, 5.

Theorem 4.5. *Suppose Y is the space with Sullivan minimal model*

$$(\wedge(a_2, b_2, c_2, x_3, y_3, z_3, \phi_4, \psi_4, w_5), d),$$

where subscripts denote degrees, and the differential, where non-zero, is given by

$$d(x) = a^2 + ac, \quad d(y) = ab, \quad d(z) = bc,$$

$$d(\phi) = xb - ay - az, \quad d(\psi) = cy - az,$$

$$d(w) = \phi a + xy + \psi a + c^3 + b^3.$$

Then Y cannot be of the rational homotopy type of $\text{Baut}_1(X)$, for any X a simply connected, π -finite, rational space.

Proof. We claim Y is not p -universal. For suppose the above model admits a positive weight decomposition. Write the weight of an element $\chi \in \wedge V$ as $\text{wt}(\chi)$. Since the boundary $a^2 + ac$ must be of homogeneous weight, it follows that $\text{wt}(a) = \text{wt}(c)$. Likewise, since the boundary $\phi a + xy + \psi a + c^3 + b^3$ must be of homogeneous weight, it follows that $\text{wt}(b) = \text{wt}(c)$. Thus we have $\text{wt}(a) = \text{wt}(b) = \text{wt}(c) = r \geq 1$, say. From the formulas for their differentials, then, we have $\text{wt}(y) = \text{wt}(z) = 2r$, and $\text{wt}(\phi) = \text{wt}(\psi) = 3r$. Finally, the boundary $\phi a + xy + \psi a + c^3 + b^3$ is not of homogeneous weight, since the first three terms have weight 4, whilst the last two have weight 3. This is a contradiction.

Now suppose $Y = \text{Baut}_1(X)$ for some π -finite, simply connected space X . Then, by Proposition 2.2, X would have generators concentrated in degrees 2, 3, 4. Indeed, X would have to have $\pi_4(X)$ of rank-one. In Lemma 4.4, we showed that $\text{Baut}_1(X)$ for such a space is p -universal. Since Y is not such, it cannot be obtained as $\text{Baut}_1(X)$. $\square$

We conclude by adding the following related observation:

Theorem 4.6. *Suppose X is a formal space. Then $\text{Baut}_1(X)$ has positive weights (is p -universal).*

Proof. For this argument, we need the alternative DG Lie algebra model for the classifying space $\text{Baut}_1(X)$ expressed in terms of derivations of the Quillen model $L = \mathbb{L}(V; d)$ for X . This is a DG Lie algebra of the form $(sL \oplus \text{Der}L, D)$ where sL is the graded suspension of L and $\text{Der}L$ the Lie algebra of degree lowering derivations of L . We refer the reader to [19, Ch.6] for the details of this construction. We here observe that $(sL \oplus \text{Der}L, D)$ may be given a positive weight decomposition.

Start with a standard positive weight decomposition of $L = \mathbb{L}(V; d)$. Namely, for an element $x \in L$ of homogeneous length and degree, assign x a weight equal to the sum of its degree and length, thus

$$\text{wt}(x) = |x| + l(x).$$

Since X is formal, we may assume that the differential d in L is quadratic, so increases length by 1. On the other hand, d decreases degree by 1, and hence this choice of weighting is preserved by d . Evidently, this choice of weighting respects brackets of elements, too, and so it gives a positive weight decomposition to the Quillen minimal model L .

Then, assign weights to elements of $(sL \oplus \text{Der}L, D)$ as follows. Suppose that $\{v_i\}$ is a basis of V , and $\{\chi_j\}$ is a basis for $L = \mathbb{L}(V)$ that is homogeneous with respect to degree and length (e.g. a standard Hall basis). Then the derivations $\{(v_i, \chi_j)\}$ give a basis for $\text{Der}L$. Now set $\text{wt}(sx) = \text{wt}(x)$ for any homogeneous weight element $x \in L$, and $\text{wt}((v_i, \chi_j)) = \text{wt}(\chi_j) - \text{wt}(v_i)$ for each i, j . Since we are restricting to positive-degree derivations in $\text{Der}L$, we have

$$\text{wt}((v_i, \chi_j)) = \text{wt}(\chi_j) - \text{wt}(v_i) = |\chi_j| - |v_i| + l(\chi_j) - 1 > 0,$$

since $|\chi_j| - |v_i| > 0$ for any positive-degree derivation, and $l(\chi_j) - 1 \geq 0$. It remains to check that brackets and the differential D behave well with respect to this weighting.

Recall that brackets $(sL \oplus \text{Der}L, D)$ are given by the usual bracket of derivations amongst elements of $\text{Der}L$, whilst brackets amongst elements of sL are trivial, and brackets “across” sL and $\text{Der}L$ are given by $[\theta, sx] = (-1)^{|\theta|} s\theta(x)$. It is straightforward to check that weights as we have assigned them add under these brackets. The differential in $(sL \oplus \text{Der}L, D)$ is the usual $D = \text{ad}(d)$ on derivations in $\text{Der}L$. Since d preserves weight (of elements in L), it is easy to see that D preserves weight (of derivations). Finally, for elements $sx \in sL$, the differential is defined as $d(sx) = -sdx + \text{ad}(x)$. For a homogenous weight x , we have assigned sx the weight of x , which is the same as the weight of dx , and also the weight of $\text{ad}(x)$ (as a derivation). It follows that D preserves the weight of elements sx also. $\square$

REFERENCES

1. Richard Body and Roy Douglas, *Unique factorization of rational homotopy types*, Pacific J. Math. **90** (1980), no. 1, 21–26. MR 599316 (82d:55009)
2. Albrecht Dold, *Halbezakte Homotopiefunktoren*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 12, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1966. MR 0198464 (33 #6622)
3. Albrecht Dold and Richard Lashof, *Principal quasi-fibrations and fibre homotopy equivalence of bundles.*, Illinois J. Math. **3** (1959), 285–305. MR 0101521 (21 #331)
4. Yves Félix, Stephen Halperin, and Jean-Claude Thomas, *Rational homotopy theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 205, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001. MR 1802847 (2002d:55014)

5. Yves Félix, Gregory Lupton, and Samuel B. Smith, *The rational homotopy type of the space of self-equivalences of a fibration*, Homology, Homotopy Appl. **12** (2010), no. 2, 371–400. MR 2771595 (2012a:55015)
6. Martin Fuchs, *A modified Dold-Lashof construction that does classify H -principal fibrations*, Math. Ann. **192** (1971), 328–340. MR 0292080 (45 #1167)
7. J.-B. Gatsinzi, *LS-category of classifying spaces*, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin **2** (1995), no. 2, 121–126. MR 1332389 (96b:55010)
8. ———, *LS-category of classifying spaces. II*, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin **3** (1996), no. 2, 243–248. MR 1389618 (97d:55022)
9. ———, *Products and Lusternik-Schnirelmann category of classifying spaces*, Indag. Math. (N.S.) **9** (1998), no. 3, 351–357. MR 1692177 (2000c:55005)
10. ———, *Lusternik-Schnirelmann category of classifying spaces*, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin **8** (2001), no. 3, 405–409. MR 1860871 (2002f:55030)
11. Gregory Lupton and Samuel Bruce Smith, *Rationalized evaluation subgroups of a map. I. Sullivan models, derivations and G -sequences*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **209** (2007), no. 1, 159–171. MR 2292124 (2008c:55017)
12. J. Peter May, *Classifying spaces and fibrations*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **1** (1975), no. 1, 155, xiii+98. MR 0370579 (51 #6806)
13. W. Meier, *Rational universal fibrations and flag manifolds*, Math. Ann. **258** (1981/82), no. 3, 329–340. MR 649203 (83g:55009)
14. Mamoru Mimura, Ronald C. O’Neill, and Hiroshi Toda, *On p -equivalence in the sense of Serre*, Japan. J. Math. **40** (1971), 1–10. MR 0305399 (46 #4529)
15. Mike Schlessinger and James Stasheff, *Deformation theory and rational homotopy type*.
16. Samuel Bruce Smith, *Rational type of classifying spaces for fibrations*, Groups of homotopy self-equivalences and related topics (Gargnano, 1999), Contemp. Math., vol. 274, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2001, pp. 299–307. MR 1817019 (2001m:55035)
17. James Stasheff, *A classification theorem for fibre spaces*, Topology **2** (1963), 239–246. MR 0154286 (27 #4235)
18. Dennis Sullivan, *Infinitesimal computations in topology*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1977), no. 47, 269–331 (1978). MR 0646078 (58 #31119)
19. Daniel Tanré, *Homotopie rationnelle: modèles de Chen, Quillen, Sullivan*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1025, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983. MR 764769 (86b:55010)
20. Toshihiro Yamaguchi, *When is the classifying space for elliptic fibrations rank one?*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **42** (2005), no. 3, 521–525. MR 2162207 (2006c:55010)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CLEVELAND STATE UNIVERSITY, CLEVELAND OH 44115
E-mail address: G.Lupton@csuohio.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SAINT JOSEPH’S UNIVERSITY, PHILADELPHIA, PA 19131
E-mail address: smith@sju.edu